# BOS v 4PA41550

## Low Newbiggin Estate <holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk>

Tue 21/11/2017 13:13

To: Richard Marsland <richard.marsland6@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk>;

Cc:Low Newbiggin Estate <holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk>;

#### **0** 3 attachments (7 MB)

Promissory Notes -Bills of exchange, delivered for BOS & TMB Mortgages.pdf; Bills of Exchange Act 1882.pdf; BOS Denial of Promissory Note .pdf;

#### Dear Richard

Could you kindly confirm receipt of this email, thank you.

We have been advised that LLOYDS Bank of Scotland or Eversheds Sutherland has applied for Warrant for Eviction.

Could you please put a note on the file that this matter is now with the North Yorkshire police and The City of London Police and that it is being dealt and investigated under Criminal and is no longer a Civil matter.

Therefore the North Yorkshire Police Warrants assistance team will be checking any warrant or eviction documentation. The documentation has to by law contain be accompanied by accompany the following;

1. The Judges signature (wet ink)

2. The Judges Bond Number

3. The Judges sworn Oaths as set out below

#### **Real Oath Of Office**

When judges are sworn in they take two oaths/affirmations. The first is the oath of allegiance and the second the judicial oath, these are collectively referred to as the judicial oath.

#### **Oath of allegiance**

"I, \_\_\_\_\_\_, do swear by Almighty God that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, according to law."

#### Judicial oath

"I, \_\_\_\_\_\_, do swear by Almighty God that I will well and truly serve our Sovereign Lady Queen Elizabeth the Second in the office of \_\_\_\_\_\_, and I will do right to all manner of people after the laws and usages of this realm, without fear or favour, affection or ill will. " Affirmation - Allegiance

"I, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, do solemnly sincerely and truly declare and affirm that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second Her Heirs and Successors according to Law"

### **Affirmation - Judicial**

I, \_\_\_\_\_\_, do solemnly sincerely and truly declare and affirm that I will well and truly serve our Sovereign Lady Queen Elizabeth the

## Second

in the office of \_\_\_\_\_\_, and I will do right to all manner of people after the laws and usages of this Realm without fear or favour affection or ill will.

Thank you .

Sincere regards Paul

Begin forwarded message:

From: Low Newbiggin Estate <<u>holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk</u>> Subject: CRN 12170203409 Date: 21 November 2017 at 10:21:29 GMT To: "general.enquiries@northyorkshire.pnn.police.uk" <<u>general.enquiries@northyorkshire.pnn.police.uk</u>> Cc: Low Newbiggin Estate <<u>holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk</u>>, <u>scott.macpherson@justice.gsi.gov.uk</u>, "<u>Elizabeth.Denham@ico.gsi.gov.uk</u>" <<u>Elizabeth.Denham@ico.gsi.gov.uk</u>>, Robert Goodwill <<u>robert.goodwill.mp@parliament.uk</u>>, Group <<u>groupexecutivecomplaints@lloydsbanking.com</u>>, AF Team <<u>contact@actionfraud.pnn.police.uk</u>>

## For the Urgent Attention of Charlie badge number 4756

Dear Charlie

As you have advised me that our case for crimes against my wife and I, by Lloyds Bank of Scotland has been escalated to the financial investigation unit, I thought I will advise them of the lawful tests of Fraud. Fraud is a crime. The Police are employed by the People (Paul and Charlotte Michaels) and do swear a solum Oath to serve and protect the People, (Paul and Charlotte Michaels).

## The Fraud Act 2006 Section 2 says:

- 2. Fraud by false representation;
- (1)A person or corporation or entity is in breach of this section if he—
- (a) dishonestly makes a false representation, and
- (b) intends, by making the representation—
- (c) to make a gain for himself or another, or
- (d) to cause loss to another or to expose another to a risk of loss.

## The Bank of Scotland made a false representations in relation to;

(i) The Claim BOS v Michaels 4PA41550 - Facts provided to the court were, omitted, falsified denied, and or untrue.

(ii) The Contract - There was concealment of the sale to SPV's, therefore no binding mortgage contract between BOS and Paul & Charlotte Michaels and the Supreme court has ruled against a Power of attorney been integral to a mortgage agreement. It must be a separate document **as ruled by The Supreme Court of the Land in the case of; Bank of Scotland v Waugh.** 

(iii) The Amounts owing - If there is NO Contract, then there can be NO outstanding mortgage payments. To the contrary BOS owe us all that we have paid over the past 10 years plus interest and damages and losses.

(iv) The Facts regarding the above - The Bank have continually denied any facts, truth, equity, acknowledgement, or remedy in the above. Yet they are plastered over the BBC, National newspapers and social media as being found guilty o=f all the above. Some staff and associates have been jailed and others will be jailed. Further they have settled cases and had rulings found against them in the supreme Court.

# BOS v Paul & Charlotte Michaels 4PA41550 Trial

HHJ Mark Raeside did not uphold his honour to uphold his sworn Oath.

Under the Data Protection Act

It is Alleged that HHJ Mark Raeside, operates in a Court room/system that is in fact a Private Corporation, NOT a public or Government office.

If this is the case, then HHJ Mark Raeside is impersonating a Public Official under the test of 'False Representation', and ' entrapped' Paul and Charlotte Michaels under false pretences as we believed that the court and the judicial system which we entered in Good Faith in order to have our side of the facts heard and witnessed, was operated by us the people for the people, and NOT any privately owned or operated corporation.

Paul and Charlotte Michaels 'paid off' on an EX-Gratia basis, the alleged mortgage with a Promissory note with the value of £1.342,749.07. 'Non Assumpsit' (See attached Copies and Proof of delivery), which is a legal bond and financial instrument under the bills of exchange Act 1882. LLOYDS Bank of Scotland has refused to accept, return or provide specific and legal or lawful reasons for refusing or returning the Promissory Note, (See attached email to Louise Paterson), which under the bills of Exchange Act 1882 (See attached) is now classed as a criminal offence.

Under the Data Protection Act 1998 'DPA', Paul and Charlotte Michaels have requested **Subject Access Requests** to LLOYDS Bank of Scotland and the ministry of Justice. That will prove the 'status' of the Promissory notes (Another once was supplied as repayment for a TMB mortgage Non Assumpsit). The Lloyds Data Subject Access request units have failed in their obligation to provide the requested documentation and communications within 40 days, which is more evidence of concealment and the Fraud taking place before your very eyes. We Ask that the Police raise arrest warrants for all those involved in this case against Paul and Charlotte Michaels for criminal Fraud. Further that full interviews from the LLOYDS Bank of Scotland their staff, their lawyers along with any Judges that have passed illegal and unlawful judgements against us that have depriving us of our natural, divine, civil, and political rights.

Please could you respond by 1600pm on the 23rd November 2017.

Sincerely

Paul Michaels

For and on behalf of:

Paul Michaels, Charlotte Sarah Michaels and Others

